Datatypes and Recursion

Plan for this week

int, bool, char

Last week:

- built-in *data types*
  - base types, tuples, lists (and strings)
- writing functions using *pattern matching* and *recursion*

This week:



- user-defined *data types*
    - and how to manipulate them using *pattern matching* and *recursion*
- more details about *recursion*

Representing complex data

Previously, we've seen:

- *base* types: `Bool`, `Int`, `Integer`, ~~`Float`~~ Double
- some ways to *build up* types: given types `T1`, `T2`
    - functions: `T1 -> T2`
    - tuples: `(T1, T2)`
    - lists: `[T1]`

Int → Bool

[(Int, Bool)]

Next: Algebraic Data Types:

A single, powerful way to type complex data

- Lets you define *your own* data types
- Tuples and lists are *special* cases

Building data types

Algebraic Types

Three key ways to build complex types/values:

Building Blocks

Int Bool

1. **Product types (each-of)**: a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *and* a value of `T2`
2. **Sum types (one-of)**: a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *or* a value of `T2`
3. **Recursive types**: a value of `T` contains a *sub-value* of the same type `T`

Int And Bool (2, True)

Int Or Bool

1 : 2 : 3 : []

[Int]

Product types

Tuples can do the job but there are two problems...

```haskell
deadlineDate :: (Int, Int, Int)
deadlineDate = (1, 28, 2022)
```

Mon day year

```haskell
deadlineTime :: (Int, Int, Int)
deadlineTime = (11, 59, 59)
```

hr min sec

```haskell
-- | Deadline date extended by one day
extendDate :: (Int, Int, Int) -> (Int, Int, Int)
extendDate = ...
```

Can you spot them?

1. Verbose and unreadable

A **type synonym** for `T` : a name that can be used interchangeably with `T`

```haskell
type Date = (Int, Int, Int)
type Time = (Int, Int, Int)

deadlineDate :: Date
deadlineDate = (1, 28, 2021)

deadlineTime :: Time
deadlineTime = (11, 59, 59)

-- | Deadline date extended by one day
extendDate :: Date -> Date
extendDate = ...
```

2. Unsafe

We want to catch this error at compile time!!!

```
extension deadlineTime
```

Solution: construct two different **datatypes**

```
data Date    = Date Int Int Int
data Time    = Time Int Int Int
                 ^    ^---^---^---- parameter types
                 `---------------- constructor name

deadlineDate :: Date
deadlineDate = Date 2 7 2020

deadlineTime :: Time
deadlineTime = Time 11 59 59
```

Record syntax

Haskell's **record syntax** allows you to *name* the constructor parameters:

- Instead of

  ```haskell
  data Date = Date Int Int Int
  ```

- you can write:

  ```haskell
  data Date = Date
    { month :: Int
    , day   :: Int
    , year  :: Int
    }
  ```

- then you can do:

```
deadlineDate = Date 2 4 2019

deadlineMonth = month deadlineDate -- use field name as a functio
n
```

Building data types

Three key ways to build complex types/values:

1. **Product types** (**each-of**): a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *and* a value of `T2` **[done]**

data Prod = MkProd T_1 T_2

2. **Sum types (one-of)**: a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *or* a value of `T2`
3. **Recursive types**: a value of `T` contains a *sub-value* of the same type `T`

List<T>

List<Int> List<Char>

<1, 2, 'h'>

Int → Int → Int | Junk

String | JUNK

Int | Junk

Example: NanoMarkdown

Suppose I want to represent a *text document* with simple markup

Each paragraph is either:

- plain text (`String`)
- heading: level *and* text (`Int` *and* `String`)
- list: ordered? *and* items (`Bool` *and* `[String]`)

I want to store all paragraphs in a *list*

```haskell
doc = [ (1, "Notes from 130")                         -- Level 1 heading
      , "There are two types of languages:"           -- Plain text
      , (True, [ "those people complain about"        -- Ordered list
               , "those no one uses"])
      ]
```

But this *does not type check*!!!

Sum Types

Solution: construct a new type for paragraphs that is a *sum* (*one-of*) the three options!

Each paragraph is either:

- plain text (`String`)
- heading: level and text (`Int` and `String`)
- list: ordered? and items (`Bool` and `[String]`)

```
data Paragraph              -- ^ THREE constructors, w/ different par
ameters
  = PText    String         -- ^ text: plain string
  | PHeading Int   String   -- ^ head: level and text (Int & String)
  | PList    Bool [String]  -- ^ list: ordered? & items (Bool & [Stri
ng])
```

QUIZ

```haskell
data Paragraph
  = PText String
  | PHeading Int String
  | PList Bool [String]
```

What is the type of `PText "Hey there!"`? i.e. How would GHCi reply to:

```
>:t (PText "Hey there!")
```

PARAGRAPH

A. Syntax error

B. Type error

C. ~~`PText`~~ not a type   PText is a CONSTRUCTOR

D. `String`

E. `Paragraph`

Constructing datatypes

```
data T
  = C1 T11 ... T1k
  | C2 T21 ... T2l
  | ...
  | Cn Tn1 ... Tnm
```

- `T` is the **new datatype**
- `C1 .. Cn` are the **constructors** of `T`

A **value** of type `T` is

- *either* `C1 v1 .. vk` with `vi :: T1i`
- *or* `C2 v1 .. vl` with `vi :: T2i`
- *or* ...
- *or* `Cn v1 .. vm` with `vi :: Tni`

You can think of a `T` value as a **box**:

- *either* a box labeled `C1` with values of types `T11 .. T1k` inside
- *or* a box labeled `C2` with values of types `T21 .. T2l` inside
- *or ...*
- *or* a box labeled `Cn` with values of types `Tn1 .. Tnm` inside



One-of Types

Constructing datatypes: `Paragraph`

```
data Paragraph
  = PText String
  | PHeading Int String
  | PList Bool [String]
```

Apply a constructor = pack some values into a box (and label it)

- `PText "Hey there!"`
  - put `"Hey there!"` in a box labeled `PText`
- `PHeading 1 "Introduction"`
  - put `1` and `"Introduction"` in a box labeled `PHeading`
- Boxes have different labels but same type (`Paragraph`)



The `Paragraph` Type

with example values:

The `Paragraph` Type

QUIZ

```haskell
data Paragraph
  = PText String
  | PHeading Int String
  | PList Bool [String]
```

What would GHCi say to

```
>:t [PHeading 1 "Introduction", PText "Hey there!"]
```

A. Syntax error

B. Type error

C. `Paragraph`

D. `[Paragraph]`

E. `[String]`

Example: NanoMD

```haskell
data Paragraph
  = PText String
  | PHeading Int String
  | PList Bool [String]
```

Now I can create a document like so:

```
doc :: [Paragraph]
doc = [ PHeading 1 "Notes from 130"
      , PText "There are two types of languages:"
      , PList True [ "those people complain about"
                   , "those no one uses"
                   ])
      ]
```

Problem: How to Convert Documents to HTML?

How to write a function

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html p = ???      -- ^ depends on the kind of paragraph!
```

How to tell what's in the box?

- Look at the label!

Pattern matching

Pattern matching = looking at the label and extracting values from the box

- we've seen it before
- but now for arbitrary datatypes

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html p = case p of
           PText str        -> ...  -- It's a plain text; str :: String
           PHeading lvl str -> ...  -- It's a heading;    lvl :: Int, str :: String
           PList ord items  -> ...  -- It's a list;       ord :: Bool, items :: [String]
```

or, we can pull the `case-of` to the "top" as

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (PText str)        = ...  -- It's a plain text; str :: String
html (PHeading lvl str) = ...  -- It's a heading;    lvl :: Int, str :: String
html (PList ord items)  = ...  -- It's a list;       ord :: Bool, items :: [String]
```

```haskell
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (PText str)             -- It's a plain text! Get string
  = unlines [open "p", str, close "p"]

html (PHeading lvl str)      -- It's a heading! Get level and string
  = let htag = "h" ++ show lvl
    in unwords [open htag, str, close htag]

html (PList ord items)       -- It's a list! Get ordered and items
  = let ltag   = if ord then "ol" else "ul"
        litems = [unwords [open "li", i, close "li"] | i <- items]
    in unlines ([open ltag] ++ litems ++ [close ltag])
```

Dangers of pattern matching (1)

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (PText str) = ...
html (PList ord items) = ...
```

What would GHCi say to:

```
html (PHeading 1 "Introduction")
```

Dangers of pattern matching (2)

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (PText str)        = unlines [open "p", str, close "p"]
html (PHeading lvl str) = ...
html (PHeading 0 str)   = html (PHeading 1 str)
html (PList ord items)  = ...
```

What would GHCi say to:

```
html (PHeading 0 "Introduction")
```

Dangers of pattern matching

Beware of **missing** and **overlapped** patterns

- GHC warns you about *overlapped* patterns
- GHC warns you about *missing* patterns when called with `-W` (use `:set -W` in GHCi)

Pattern-Match Expression

Everything is an expression?



We've seen: pattern matching in *equations*

Actually, pattern-match is *also an expression*

```haskell
html :: Paragraph -> String
html p = case p of
           PText    str       -> unlines [open "p", str, close "p"]
           PHeading lvl str   -> ...
           PList    ord items -> ...
```

The code we saw earlier was *syntactic sugar*

```haskell
html (C1 x1 ...) = e1
html (C2 x2 ...) = e2
html (C3 x3 ...) = e3
```

is just for *humans*, internally represented as a **`case-of`** expression

```haskell
html p = case p of
           (C1 x1 ...) -> e1
           (C2 x2 ...) -> e2
           (C3 x3 ...) -> e3
```

QUIZ

if 1<3 then "cat"
else [1,2,3]

What is the **type of**

Para

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    PText    str    -> str
    PHeading lvl _  -> lvl
    PList    ord _  -> ord
```

String

Int

Bool [String]

A. Syntax error

B. Type error

C. `String`

D. `Paragraph`

E. `Paragraph -> String`

Pattern matching expression: typing

The **`case`** expression

```
case e of
  pattern1 -> e1    :: T
  pattern2 -> e2    :: T
  ...
  patternN -> eN    :: T
```

:: T

has type `T` if

- each `e1` ... `eN` has type `T`

- `e` has some type `D`
- each `pattern1` ... `patternN` is a *valid pattern* for `D`
    - i.e. a variable or a constructor of `D` applied to other patterns

The expression `e` is called the **match scrutinee**

QUIZ

What is the type of

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    PText _       -> 1
    PHeading _ _ -> 2
    PList _ _    -> 3
```

A. Syntax error

B. Type error

C. `Paragraph`

D. `Int`

E. `Paragraph -> Int`

Building data types



(Int, String)

Int and String

Three key ways to build complex types/values:

1. **Product types** (**each-of**): a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *and* a value of `T2` **[done]**

    - Cartesian *product* of two sets: $v(T) = v(T1) \times v(T2)$

2. **Sum types** (**one-of**): a value of `T` contains a value of `T1` *or* a value of `T2` **[done]**

data Thing = T Int Int

| TStr String

- Union (*sum*) of two sets: $v(T) = v(T1) \cup v(T2)$

3. **Recursive types**: a value of `T` contains a *sub-value* of the same type `T`

$\lambda f x.\ f.f.f.(x)$

$\lambda f x.\ x$

$\lambda f x.\ f(x)$

$\lambda f x.\ f(f\ x)$

Recursive types

Let's define **natural numbers** from scratch:

```
data Nat = ???
```

```haskell
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat
```

Peano

A Nat value is:

- either an *empty* box labeled Zero
- or a box labeled Succ with another Nat in it!



Some Nat values:

```haskell
Zero                    -- 0
Succ Zero               -- 1
Succ (Succ Zero)        -- 2
Succ (Succ (Succ Zero)) -- 3
...
```

Functions on recursive types

Recursive code mirrors recursive data

1. Recursive type as a parameter

```
data Nat = Zero      -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat  -- inductive constructor
```

Step 1: add a pattern per constructor

```
toInt :: Nat -> Int
toInt Zero     = ... -- base case
toInt (Succ n) = ... -- inductive case
                     -- (recursive call goes here)
```

Step 2: fill in base case:

```haskell
toInt :: Nat -> Int
toInt Zero     = 0   -- base case
toInt (Succ n) = ... -- inductive case
                     -- (recursive call goes here)
```

Step 2: fill in inductive case using a recursive call:

```haskell
toInt :: Nat -> Int
toInt Zero     = 0           -- base case
toInt (Succ n) = 1 + toInt n -- inductive case
```

QUIZ

What does this evaluate to?

foo :: Int → Nat

```
let foo i = if i <= 0 then Zero else Succ (foo (i - 1))
in foo 2
```

A. Syntax error

B. Type error

C. `2`

D. `Succ Zero`

E. `Succ (Succ Zero)`

```
data Nat
  = Zero
  | Succ Nat
```

foo 0 ⇒ Zero

foo 1 ⇒ Succ (foo 0)

⇒ Suc (Zero)

foo 2 ⇒ Suc (foo 1)

⇒ Suc (Suc Zero)

2. Recursive type as a result

```
data Nat = Zero      -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat -- inductive constructor
```

```
fromInt :: Int -> Nat
fromInt n
  | n <= 0    = Zero                       -- base case
  | otherwise = Succ (fromInt (n - 1))  -- inductive case
                                          -- (recursive call goes here)
```

EXERCISE: Putting the two together

```haskell
data Nat = Zero      -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat  -- inductive constructor
```

```haskell
add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
add n m = ???
```

```haskell
sub :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
sub n m = ???
```




```
add Zero  m = m
add (S n) m = S (add n m)
```

EXERCISE: Putting the two together



```haskell
data Nat = Zero     -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat -- inductive constructor


add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
add n m = ???
```

```haskell
data Nat = Zero     -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat -- inductive constructor
```

```haskell
add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
add Zero     m = ???            -- base case
add (Succ n) m = ???            -- inductive case
```

EXERCISE: Putting the two together

```haskell
data Nat = Zero      -- base constructor
         | Succ Nat -- inductive constructor
```

```haskell
sub :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
sub n m = ???
```

```haskell
sub :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
sub n        Zero     = ??? n    -- base case 1
sub Zero     _        = ??? Zero -- base case 2
sub (Succ n) (Succ m) = ???      -- inductive case
                        sub n m
```

Lesson: Recursive code mirrors recursive data

- Which of **multiple** arguments should you recurse on?
- Key: Pick the right **inductive strategy**!

(easiest if there is a *single* argument of course...)

Example: Calculator

I want to implement an arithmetic calculator to evaluate expressions like:

- `4.0 + 2.9`
- `3.78 - 5.92`
- `(4.0 + 2.9) * (3.78 - 5.92)`

What is a Haskell datatype to *represent* these expressions?

```
data Expr = ???
```

```haskell
data Expr = Num Float
          | Add Expr Expr
          | Sub Expr Expr
          | Mul Expr Expr
```

We can represent expressions as

```haskell
e0, e1, e2 :: Expr
e0 = Add (Num 4.0)  (Num 2.9)
e1 = Sub (Num 3.78) (Num 5.92)
e2 = Mul e0 e1
```

EXERCISE: Expression Evaluator

Write a function to *evaluate* an expression.

```
-- >>> eval (Add (Num 4.0)  (Num 2.9))
-- 6.9

eval :: Expr -> Float
eval e = ???
```

Recursion is…

Building solutions for *big problems* from solutions for *sub-problems*

- **Base case:** what is the *simplest version* of this problem and how do I solve it?
- **Inductive strategy:** how do I *break down* this problem into sub-problems?

- **Inductive case:** how do I solve the problem *given* the solutions for subproblems?

Peano + Data

Lists

Lists aren't built-in! They are an *algebraic data type* like any other:

```
data List
  = Nil              -- ^ base constructor
  | Cons Int List -- ^ inductive constructor
```

- `List [1, 2, 3]` is *represented* as `Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 Nil))`
- Built-in list constructors `[]` and `(:)` are just fancy syntax for `Nil` and `Cons`

Functions on lists follow the same general strategy:

```
length :: List -> Int
length Nil          = 0                 -- base case
length (Cons _ xs) = 1 + length xs     -- inductive case
```



EXERCISE: Appending Lists

What is the right *inductive strategy* for appending two lists?

```
-- >>> append (Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 Nil))) (Cons 4 (Cons 5 (Cons 6
Nil)))
-- (Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 (Cons 4 (Cons 5 (Cons 6 Nil))))))

append :: List -> List -> List
append xs ys = ??
```



Trees

Lists are *unary trees* with elements stored in the nodes:





Lists are unary trees

```
data List = Nil | Cons Int List
```

How do we represent *binary trees* with elements stored in the nodes?



Binary trees with data at nodes

QUIZ: Binary trees I

What is a Haskell datatype for *binary trees* with elements stored in the nodes?



Binary trees with data at nodes

(A) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree` only 1 child :-c

(B) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree`

(C) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree`

(D) `data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree` num at leaf

(E) `data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree`



Binary trees with data at nodes

Node 1 (Node 2 (Node 3 Leaf Leaf) Leaf))

(Node 4 Leaf leaf)

```haskell
data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree

t1234 = Node 1
          (Node 2 (Node 3 Leaf Leaf) Leaf)
          (Node 4 Leaf Leaf)
```

Functions on trees

```haskell
depth :: Tree -> Int
depth t = ??
```

QUIZ: Binary trees II

What is a Haskell datatype for *binary trees* with elements stored in the leaves?



Binary trees with data at leaves

(A) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree`

(B) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree`

(C) `data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree`

(D) `data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree`

(E) `data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree`

```haskell
data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree

t12345 = Node
          (Node (Node (Leaf 1) (Leaf 2)) (Leaf 3))
          (Node (Leaf 4) (Leaf 5))
```

Why use Recursion?

1. Often far simpler and cleaner than loops
   - But not always...
2. Structure often forced by recursive data

3. Forces you to factor code into reusable units (recursive functions)

Higher Order Func

*Why **not** use Recursion?*

1. Slow

2. Can cause stack overflow



Example: factorial

```
fac :: Int -> Int
fac n
  | n <= 1    = 1
  | otherwise = n * fac (n - 1)
```

Lets see how `fac 4` is evaluated:

```
<fac 4>
  ==> <4 * <fac 3>>             -- recursively call `fact 3`
  ==> <4 * <3 * <fac 2>>>       --   recursively call `fact 2`
  ==> <4 * <3 * <2 * <fac 1>>>> --     recursively call `fact 1`
  ==> <4 * <3 * <2 * 1>>>       --     multiply 2 to result
  ==> <4 * <3 * 2>>             --   multiply 3 to result
  ==> <4 * 6>                   -- multiply 4 to result
  ==> 24
```

Each *function call* `<>` allocates a frame on the *call stack*

- expensive
- the stack has a finite size

Can we do recursion without allocating stack frames?

Tail Recursion

Recursive call is the *top-most* sub-expression in the function body

- i.e. no computations allowed on recursively returned value

- i.e. value returned by the recursive call == value returned by function

QUIZ: Is this function tail recursive?

```
fac :: Int -> Int
fac n
  | n <= 1    = 1
  | otherwise = n * fac (n - 1)
```

A. Yes

B. No

Tail recursive factorial

Let’s write a tail-recursive factorial!

```
facTR :: Int -> Int
facTR n = ...
```

HINT: Lets first write it with a `loop`

Lets see how `facTR` is evaluated:

```
<facTR 4>
  ==>    <<loop 1  4>> -- call loop 1 4
  ==>   <<<loop 4  3>>> -- rec call loop 4 3
  ==>  <<<<loop 12 2>>>> -- rec call loop 12 2
  ==> <<<<<loop 24 1>>>>> -- rec call loop 24 1
  ==> 24                  -- return result 24!
```

Each recursive call **directly** returns the result

- without further computation
- no need to remember what to do next!
- no need to store the “empty” stack frames!

Why care about Tail Recursion?

Because the *compiler* can transform it into a *fast loop*

```
facTR n = loop 1 n
  where
    loop acc n
      | n <= 1    = acc
      | otherwise = loop (acc * n) (n - 1)
```

```
function facTR(n){
  var acc = 1;
  while (true) {
    if (n <= 1) { return acc ; }
    else        { acc = acc * n; n = n - 1; }
  }
}
```

- Tail recursive calls can be optimized as a **loop**
  - no stack frames needed!
- Part of the language specification of most functional languages
  - compiler **guarantees** to optimize tail calls

That's all folks!